UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Saul Douglas Briggs Defendant	Case No. 1:12-cr-00123-JTN
		orm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
	Part I – Fi	ndings of Fact
(1)		n 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of hat would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 3 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of	ten years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	en convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 e or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon
	a failure to register under 18 U.S.C	
(2)		rhile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumperson or the community. I further find that defendant	nption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe t has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternativ	e Findings (A)
√ (1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	has committed an offense
	✓ for which a maximum prison term of ten years of Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et s	
_	under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
√ (2)	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption esta will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance at	blished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions nd the safety of the community.
	Alternativ	
	There is a serious risk that the defendant will not app	
(2)	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endang	
	Part II – Statement of	the Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted at th a preponderance of the evidence that:	e detention hearing establishes by <a>- clear and convincing
Defenda	ant waived his detention hearing, electing not to conte	st detention pending trial.

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	June 27, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody	
		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge	